



(19)

Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 065 799 A1

(12)

## EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:  
03.01.2001 Bulletin 2001/01

(51) Int Cl. 7: H04B 1/707

(21) Application number: 99305245.5

(22) Date of filing: 02.07.1999

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU  
MC NL PT SE  
Designated Extension States:  
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(71) Applicant: LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.  
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636 (US)

(72) Inventor: Karimi, Hamid R.  
Swindon, Wiltshire SN5 5BJ (GB)

(74) Representative: Williams, David John et al  
Lucent Technologies UK Limited,  
5 Mornington Road  
Woodford Green, Essex IG8 0TU (GB)

## (54) Multi-user detection for wideband CDMA

(57) A method for detecting user symbols in a multi-rate multi-user environment, of a WCDMA communication system, the method comprising altering the order in

which information symbols are estimated so as to group user symbols in a block-banded structure. Specific algorithms for achieving this are disclosed.




FIG 5

EP 1 065 799 A1

**Description****Field of the Invention**

5 [0001] This invention relates to communication systems. In particular, it relates to mobile communications in a multi-rate environment using wideband code division multiple access (WCDMA)

[0002] In the multi-rate environment of the WCDMA uplink, the sampled signal block received at the base-station detector can be written as a vector  $\underline{r} = \underline{Ax} + \underline{n}$  where  $\underline{x}$  is the vector of bits (or symbols) transmitted by all K mobile users in the cell,  $\underline{n}$  is the noise vector and A is a sparse matrix which is a function of the user spreading codes and the 10 radio propagation channel. The objective of multi-user detection (MUD) is to estimate the vector  $\underline{x}$  of all user symbols, given the received vector  $\underline{r}$  and the matrix A.

[0003] The computational complexity of MUD can be prohibitive for a large number of users and is furthermore dependent on the structure of matrix A.

**15 Description of the Prior Art**

[0004] A communication system such as a mobile telephone communication system, may typically have several 20 users using the system simultaneously. The mobile stations may be synchronised or asynchronous. Figure 1 illustrates the uplink transmissions of a number K of synchronised mobile stations. In the absence of inter-symbol interference (or when the delay spread is much smaller than the symbol period) it can be seen from the figure the  $n$ th symbol of any user interferes with the  $m$ th symbol of another user if and only if  $m = n$ . The result of this is that joint detection of the user symbols  $x^1 \dots x^K$  can be achieved at the base station receiver by considering one single symbol epoch at a time.

[0005] In an asynchronous uplink scenario, however, the base station receiver needs to process signals transmitted 25 by any number K uncoordinated mobile stations. At a symbol level, the maximum asynchronous delay  $\tau_{\max}$ , between the transmission of any two mobiles is less than a common-symbol epoch ( $Q_c$  chip intervals). A control symbol epoch is chosen since this is common among all users. Figure 2 shows such a system and it is seen that the  $n$ th symbol of a user interferes not only with the  $n$ th, but also with  $(n \pm 1)$ th symbols of all other users. Accordingly, joint detection 30 of the user symbols can only be performed optimally by considering a signal block of an appropriate length. The block needs to be many symbols long.

[0006] A natural MUD block length for WCDMA transmission is the time-slot period. There are two good reasons for 35 this. Firstly, the channel impulse response can be assumed to be fixed over a time-slot interval (625 µs) resulting in simplifications and reductions in the complexity of the multi-user detector. Secondly, longer block lengths (eg a frame period of 10 ms) can result in unacceptable latencies. This is especially the case for the detection of some of the control bits which may be required within a time slot period, such as power control or rate information.

[0007] Consider K asynchronous mobile stations, each transmitting time slots with relative delays of  $\tau^{(1)} \leq \tau^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq \tau^{(K)}$  40 "samples" with respect to an arbitrary user labelled user 1 ( $\tau^{(1)} = 0$  by definition). As can be seen from Figure 2 the MUD block needs to be  $\eta(N_c Q_c + W - 1) + \tau^{(K)}$  samples long in order to account for Inter-Symbol Interference (ISI) and asynchronous delays. ISI represents the 'spill-over' between consecutive symbols transmitted by a user due to delays in the propagation channel. Note that the block-length is time-varying and depends on the maximum delay  $\tau^{(K)} \leq \eta Q_c - 1$ , and is therefore upper bounded by 45 MUD Block Length  $\leq \eta([N_c + 1]Q_c + W - 1) - 1$  samples  
Let  $\underline{r}^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}^{(\eta(N_c Q_c + W - 1) + \tau^{(K)}) \times N}$  represent the MUD signal block at the output of the base station's antenna-m receive filter when sampled at  $\eta$  times the chip rate. This may then be modelled as

45

(1)

$$\underline{r}^{(m)} = \tilde{\underline{A}}^{(m)} \underline{x} + \underline{n}^{(m)}$$

50 where

$$\tilde{\underline{A}}^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}^{(\eta(N_c Q_c + W - 1) + \tau^{(K)}) \times N}$$

55

is the multi-user convolution matrix at antenna - m,  $\underline{x} = [x^{(1)} \dots x^{(K)}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is the vector of  $N = \sum_k N^{(k)}$  (data and control) symbols transmitted by all users within one time-slot and additive noise at antenna-m is given by

$$(m) \underline{n} \in C^{n(N_c Q_c + W-1) + \tau}^{(K)}.$$

5 The nature of the

$$(m) \tilde{\underline{A}} \underline{x}$$

10 product can be further clarified by an expansion of equation(1) which is shown graphically in Figure 3 for K asynchronous users.

[0008] In Figure 3,  $(m) \tilde{\underline{A}}^{(k)} \in C^{n(N_c Q_c + W-1) \times (K)}$  is the convolution matrix for user k at antenna-m as defined and

$$\underline{x}^{(k)} \in R^N$$

is the vector of all  $N^{(K)}$  symbols transmitted by user k over a time-slot period.

[0009] Consider now a two-user uplink scenario. Suppose that user 1 accesses a 2-code voice service transmitting  $N_1^{(1)} = N_c = 10$  control symbols,  $\underline{x}_1^{(1)} = \underline{x}_c^{(1)}$ , with a spreading of  $Q_1^{(1)} = Q_c = 256$  and  $N_2^{(1)} = N_d^{(1)} = 20$  data symbols,  $\underline{x}_2^{(1)} = \underline{x}_d^{(1)}$ , with a spreading of

$Q_2^{(1)} = Q_d^{(1)} = 128$ . User 2 accesses a 3-code data service transmitting  $N_1^{(2)} = N_c = 10$  control symbols,  $\underline{x}_1^{(2)} = \underline{x}_c^{(2)}$ , with a spreading of  $Q_1^{(2)} = Q_c = 256$ ,  $N_2^{(2)} = N_{d1}^{(2)} = 20$  data symbols,  $\underline{x}_2^{(2)} = \underline{x}_{d1}^{(2)}$ , with a spreading of  $Q_2^{(2)} = Q_{d1}^{(2)} = 128$  and  $N_3^{(2)} = N_{d2}^{(2)} = 40$  data symbols,  $\underline{x}_3^{(2)} = \underline{x}_{d2}^{(2)}$ , with a spreading of  $Q_3^{(2)} = Q_{d2}^{(2)} = 64$ .

[0010] Furthermore, user 2 has a maximum asynchronous delay of  $\tau^{(K)} = \eta Q_c - 1$  with respect to user 1. The product  $(m) \tilde{\underline{A}} \underline{x}$  is graphically illustrated in Figure 4. In the Figure, the first two groups of  $N_c^{(1)}$  and  $N_d^{(1)}$  columns relate to the first user;  $N_c^{(1)}$  shows the control symbols and  $N_d^{(1)}$  shows data symbols. The following three groups of  $N_c^{(2)}$ ,  $N_{d1}^{(2)}$  and  $N_{d2}^{(2)}$  columns relate to the second user and show, respectively, control symbols and the two sets of data symbols for user 2. The length of each vertical line represents  $Q + W - 1$  which is equivalent to the length Q of the spreading sequence when filtered by a channel of length W chips. The chip oversampling factor in this example is  $\eta$ .

[0011] The objective of a multi-user detection algorithm is to "reverse" the influence of the convolution matrix,  $(m) \tilde{\underline{A}}$ , on the transmitted information. Accordingly, MUD can be computationally very complex, particularly for a large number of users and is dependant upon the structure of matrix  $(m) \tilde{\underline{A}}$ .

[0012] The present invention arose in an attempt to reduce computational complexity for multi-rate multi-user detection, in WCDMA (wideband code division multiple access) systems.

### Summary of the Invention

[0013] According to the present invention there is provided a method for detecting user symbols in a multi-rate multi-user environment, of a WCDMA communication system, the method comprising altering the order in which information symbols are estimated so as to group user symbols in a block-banded structure.

[0014] Typically, each user transmits control symbols and at least one group of data symbols, and wherein a convolution matrix  $(m) \tilde{\underline{A}}$  is formed which is block-banded, each block including a number of columns relating to the number of groups of data or symbols transmitted by the users, and grouped according to the respective control signals and in an order related to the order at which symbols arrive at a receiver.

[0015] If control symbols are not considered in the multi-user detection problem, then the data symbols with the longest duration transmitted by each user effectively take up the role of control symbols. Other grouping methods may be used.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

[0016] Embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 shows uplink transmissions, in a WCDMA system, of K synchronised mobile stations;  
 Figure 2 shows uplink transmission of K asynchronous mobile stations;  
 Figure 3 shows a convolution matrix for K users at the mth antenna (antenna-m);

# EP 1 065 799 A1

Figure 4 shows the convolution matrix for two users;  
 Figure 5 shows a column-permuted multi-user convolution matrix in the form of a block banded structure; and  
 Figure 6 shows a column-permuted convolution matrix for two users.

5 [0017] It is described above that the signal,  $(^m)\underline{r}$ , at the output of the antenna-m receive filter can always be represented as a linear function of a multi-user convolution matrix,  $(^m)\bar{A}$ , the vector of user symbols,  $\underline{x}$ , and additive noise  $(^m)\underline{n}$ .  
 [0018] The objective of a multi-user detection algorithm is to eliminate the effects of multiple-access and inter-symbol interference by "reversing" the influence of the convolution matrix,  $(^m)\bar{A}$ , on the transmitted information. As such, efficient 10 implementation of MUD relies heavily on the exploitation of the structure and sparse nature of  $(^m)\bar{A}$ . However, as was seen earlier, while  $(^m)\bar{A}$  is always sparse, this cannot be readily exploited since the detailed structure of  $(^m)\bar{A}$  is highly dependent on the number of users active in the cell, their relative delays and mix of data rates. This issue is addressed in embodiments of the invention by considering certain permutations of  $(^m)\bar{A}$  which render its structure more predictable and hence computationally friendly.  
 15 [0019] Consider the multi-user convolution matrix  $(^m)\bar{A}$  of Figure 3, corresponding to a MUD block-length of one time-slot. The matrix has an irregular structure which is a function of the asynchronous delays between the mobile transmissions, as reflected in the offsets between sub-matrices  $(^m)A^{(k)}$  where  $k=1 \dots K$ . This structure is a direct result of the fact that the elements of vector  $\underline{x}$  (unknown information symbols) are grouped together according to the mobile user from which they originate. A benefit of this ordering scheme is that the symbol estimates at the output of a MUD 20 algorithm could be readily assigned to the different users.  
 [0020] The inventor has realised that it is possible to obtain a more predictable structure for  $(^m)\bar{A}$  by changing the order in which the information symbols are estimated. This would be equivalent to a scrambling of the elements of vector  $\underline{x}$  and hence the columns of matrix  $(^m)\bar{A}$  as described by

25

$$(^m)\bar{A}\underline{x} = (^m)\bar{A}V^T V\underline{x} = (^m)A\underline{x},$$

30 where  $V$  is a unitary permutation matrix and  $V^T V = I$ . Following such a permutation, the model of Eq. (1) can be written as

$$(2) \quad (^m)\underline{r} = (^m)A\underline{x} + (^m)\underline{n}$$

35 [0021] Inspection of the structure of  $(^m)\bar{A}$  reveals that a suitable permutation is one that groups the user symbols according to their respective control symbols and in a fashion which reflects the order in which the symbols arrive at the receiver. Such a permutation imposes a block-banded structure upon matrix  $(^m)\bar{A}$ .  
 [0022] Note that for any multi-code scenario or mix of data rates, it is possible to derive a column-permuted multi-user convolution matrix  $(^m)A$  which is block-banded. Furthermore, when representing a block-length of one time-slot,  $(^m)A$  can be completely defined via sub-matrices  $(^m)B_1 \dots (^m)B_{N_c}$  each containing  $K_c$  columns and (a maximum of)  $\eta(2Q_c + W - 1) - 1$  rows, as shown in Figure 5.  
 40 [0023] In the Figure,

45

$$K_c = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{M^{(k)}} \frac{Q_c}{Q_i^{(k)}}$$

50 [0024] The column permutation process may be described as follows. For every control-symbol epoch, user  $k$  synchronously transmits a total of  $\xi^{(k)} = \sum_i Q_c / Q_i^{(k)}$  ( $i=1 \dots M^{(k)}$ ) symbols (one control and  $\xi^{(k)} - 1$  data). As a first step, shuffle the columns of  $(^m)A^{(k)}$   $\forall k=1 \dots K$ , such that those columns corresponding to the above symbols are placed adjacent to one another. This ensures that each of the  $(^m)A^{(k)}$  sub-matrices are block-banded, consisting of  $N_c$  blocks (one block for each control symbol in a time-slot) each of  $\xi^{(k)}$  columns. As a second step, shuffle the generated blocks across the boundaries of the  $(^m)A^{(k)}$   $\forall k=1 \dots K$  so that the blocks corresponding to control symbols which arrive at the base station 55 at an earlier time are placed in the left-most columns. This ensures that the total convolution matrix  $(^m)A$  is block-banded, consisting of  $N_c$  blocks each of  $\sum_k \xi^{(k)} = K_c$  columns.  
 [0025] The validity of the above concept can be illustrated by considering a specific example.  
 [0026] An arbitrary scenario will be considered where  $K=2$  users are active on the uplink. User 1 transmits with

# EP 1 065 799 A1

spreading factors of  $Q_1^{(1)} = 256$  and  $Q_2^{(1)} = 128$ , while user 2 transmits with spreading factors of  $Q_1^{(2)} = 256$ ,  $Q_2^{(2)} = 128$  and  $Q_3^{(2)} = 64$ , resulting in the convolution structure depicted in Figure (4). The structure of the permuted matrix  $(^m)A$ , as illustrated by Figure 5, is graphically illustrated in Figure 6, which shows the structure of column-permuted convolution matrix  $(^m)A = (^m)\tilde{A}V$  for a K=2 user scenario and chip oversampling factor of  $\eta$ . Note that the block-length represented corresponds to a WCDMA time-slot containing  $N_c = 10$  control symbols. Note that while the value of  $K_c$  depends on the number of users and their mix of data rates, the overall structure of  $(^m)A$  does not. Each block comprises a first control signal  $C_1$ , from user 1, two data symbols  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  from user 1, a second control symbol  $C_2$ , from user 2, two data symbols  $D_3$  and  $D_4$  from the  $N_{d_1}^{(2)}$  data columns of user 2 in Figure (4), and four data symbols  $D_5$ ,  $D_6$ ,  $D_7$ ,  $D_8$  from the  $N_{d_2}^{(2)}$  data columns of user 2 in Figure (4).

5            [0027] For the general case of K users, the sub-matrix  $(^m)B$  may be calculated as follows:

$$(^m)B = 0[\eta(2Q_c + W - 1) - 1, K_c]$$

15

**i<sub>0</sub>=1**

**for k=1...K**

{k<sup>th</sup> user}

20

**for i=1...M<sup>(k)</sup>**

{i<sup>th</sup> code}

$Q_i^{(k)}$

{Spreading factor}

$$\Gamma_i^{(k)} = Q_c / Q_i^{(k)}$$

{No of symbols over  $Q_c$  chip

25

epochs}

$$(^m)B_i(k) = 0[\eta(\Gamma_i^{(k)}Q_i^{(k)} + W - 1), \Gamma_i^{(k)}]$$

{sub-matrix of B for i<sup>th</sup>

30

code of k<sup>th</sup> user}

if code is "Inphase"      then  $\alpha = \alpha_i^{(k)} = 0$

35

if code is "Quadrature"      then  $\alpha = \alpha_i^{(k)} = 1$

40

**for n=1... $\Gamma_i^{(k)}$**       {n<sup>th</sup> symbol}

$$\underline{d} = j^\alpha G_i^{(k)} \left\{ [C_{sc}^{(k)}]_{\eta(n-1)Q_i^{(k)}+1:\eta n Q_i^{(k)}} \circ C_i^{(k)} \right\} * (^m)H(k)$$

$$[(^m)B_i(k)]_{\eta(n-1)Q_i^{(k)}+1:\eta(nQ_i^{(k)}+W-1), n} = \underline{d}$$

45

**end**

50

$$(^m)B((^m)\tau^{(k)} + 1 : (^m)\tau^{(k)} + \eta(\Gamma_i^{(k)}Q_i^{(k)} + W - 1), i_0 : i_0 + \Gamma_i^{(k)} - 1) = (^m)B_i(k)$$

$$i_0 = i_0 + \Gamma_i^{(k)};$$

**end**

55

**end**

where for convenience, the number of rows in  $(^m)B$  is assumed to be at the maximum value of  $\eta(2Q_c + W - 1) - 1$  implying

# EP 1 065 799 A1

the presence of a user with a maximum asynchronous delay of  $\tau^{(k)} = \eta Q_c - 1$ .

[0028] Note that the permutation implicit in the above algorithm implies that the elements of vector  $\underline{x}$  are scrambled versions of the unpermuted symbol vector  $\underline{x} = [\underline{x}^{(1)T} \dots \underline{x}^{(k)T}]^T$ .

[0029] Symbols  $x_i^{(k)}$  transmitted by the  $i^{\text{th}}$  code of user  $k$  may then be extracted from the permuted symbol vector  $\underline{x}$  as follows:

```

l1=1
for k = 1:K
    for n = 1 : Nc                                {Deal with symbols in the nth
        copy of block B}
            l2 = l1                                {Start at column l2 of block B}
            for i = 1:M(k)
                Qi(k)
                Γi(k) = Qc/Qi(k)
                xi(k)( [n-1] Γi(k) + 1 : nΓi(k)) = x( [n-1] Kc+l2 : [n-1] Kc+l2+Γi(k)-1)
                l2 = l2 + Γi(k)
            end
        end
        l3 = 0                                {l3 counts the symbols of user k in
            matrix B}
        for i = 1:MQ(k)
            Γi(k) = Qc/Qi(k)
            l3 = l3 + Γi(k)
        end
        l1 = l1 + l3                                {Update starting point for next
            user}
    end

```

[0030] The above are examples of suitable algorithms. Other forms of block-banded structure than those specifically shown may be used.

[0031] It is possible that control symbols are not considered in the multi-user detection problem; they may be detected by some other means. In such cases, data symbols of chosen characteristics (e.g. those of longest duration) take up the role of control symbols in the above schemes and algorithms. The invention extends to these methods.

[0032] In this specification, the following notation and nomenclature is used:

## MATRIX NOTATION

[0033]

5	$\underline{x}$	Vector.
	$X$	Matrix.
	$\underline{x}^T, \underline{x}^H$	Transpose and Hermitian Transpose.
	$[X]_{ij}$	Element of $X$ on the $i^{\text{th}}$ row and $j^{\text{th}}$ column.
10	$[X]_{n_1:n_2,m_1:m_2}$	Sub-matrix of $X$ from rows $n_1$ to $n_2$ and columns $m_1$ to $m_2$ .

## NOMENCLATURE

[0034]

15	$(^{(m)}A_i^{(k)})$	$\in C^{(Q(N_r+Q_c+W-1) \times N_r^{(k)})}$	Convolution matrix for $i^{\text{th}}$ code of $k^{\text{th}}$ user at $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
	$(^{(m)}A^{(k)})$	$\in C^{(Q(N_r+Q_c+W-1) \times N^{(k)})}$	Convolution matrix for $k^{\text{th}}$ user at $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
20	$(^{(m)}\tilde{A})$	$\in C^{(Q((N_r+1)K_r+W-1) \times 1 \times N)}$	Un-permuted multi-user convolution matrix at $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
	$\tilde{A}$	$\in C^{(Q((N_r+1)K_r+W-1) \times 1 \times N)}$	Un-permuted multi-user antenna array convolution matrix.
	$(^{(m)}A)$	$\in C^{(Q((N_r+1)K_r+W-1) \times 1 \times N)}$	Permuted multi-user convolution matrix at $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
25	$A$	$\in C^{(K_r \times Q((N_r+1)K_r+W-1) \times 1 \times N)}$	Permuted multi-user antenna array convolution matrix.
	$(^{(m)}B)$	$\in C^{(K_r(2Q_c+W-1) \times 1 \times K_c)}$	Sub-matrix of the multi-user convolution matrix $(^{(m)}\tilde{A})$ for $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna $(^{(m)}\tilde{A})$ .

30	$K$	$\in R^1$	Number of users (maximum = 52).
	$K_c$	$\in R^1$	$K_c = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{M^{(k)}} \frac{Q_c}{Q_i^{(k)}}.$
35	$M^{(k)}$	$\in R^1$	Number of codes associated with the $k^{\text{th}}$ user.
	$N_i^{(k)}$	$\in R^1$	Number of symbols transmitted via $i^{\text{th}}$ code of $k^{\text{th}}$ user in a time-slot.
	$N^{(k)}$	$\in R^1$	Number of symbols transmitted by the $k^{\text{th}}$ user in a time-slot.
40	$N$	$\in R^1$	Number of symbols transmitted by all users in a time-slot.
	$\eta$	$\in R^1$	Oversampling factor (nominally =2).
	$Q_c$	$\in R^1$	Spreading factor for control symbols.
45	$Q_i^{(k)}$	$\in R^1$	Spreading factor for $i^{\text{th}}$ code of $k^{\text{th}}$ user.
	$r$	$\in C^{K_r \times (Q((N_r+1) + W - 1) - 1)}$	Receive vector associated with a time-slot.
	$(^{(m)}\tau^{(k)})$	$\in R^1$	Asynchronous delay (samples) for $k^{\text{th}}$ user at the $m^{\text{th}}$ antenna.
50	$W$	$\in R^1$	Channel impulse response length (chips).
	$\underline{x}_i^{(k)}$	$\in R^{N^{(k)}}$	Vector of symbols transmitted via $i^{\text{th}}$ code of $k^{\text{th}}$ user.
	$\underline{x}^{(k)}$	$\in R^{N^{(k)}}$	Vector of symbols transmitted by $k^{\text{th}}$ user.
55	$\tilde{x}$	$\in R^N$	Vector of symbols transmitted by all users in a time-slot.
	$\underline{x}$	$\in R^N$	Permuted vector of symbols transmitted by all users in a time-slot.

**Claims**

1. A method for detecting user symbols in a multi-rate multi-user environment, of a WCDMA communication system, the method comprising altering the order in which information symbols are estimated so as to group user symbols in a block-banded structure.
2. A method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein each user transmits control symbols and at least one group of data symbols, and wherein a convolution matrix  $(^m)A$  is formed which is block-banded, each block including a number of columns relating to the number of groups of data or symbols transmitted by the users, and grouped according to the respective control symbols and in an order related to the order at which symbols arrive at a receiver.
3. A method as claimed in Claim 2, wherein each block contains a control symbol for each user and one or more data symbols for each user.
4. A method as claimed in Claim 3, wherein each block for  $n$  users comprises, sequentially, a control symbol for a first user  $i$ , one or more data symbols for the first user  $i$ , and, in turn a control symbol for each  $(i + 1)^{th}$  user, and one or more data symbols for each  $(i + 1)^{th}$  user.
5. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the number of data symbols for each user within a block is determined by the number of groups of data transmitted by that user and the relative spreading factors of the control symbols and data symbols transmitted by that user.
6. A method as claimed in Claim 5, wherein each user transmits synchronously a number  $N$  of control and data symbols, comprising one control symbol and  $N-1$  data symbols, in an epoch, the method comprising shuffling the order to form a number  $N_c$  of blocks, where  $N_c$  is equal to the number of control symbols transmitted in a time slot, each block being of  $K_c$  columns, and shuffling the blocks so that the order of the columns in each block is related to the time at which symbols arrive at a station.
7. A method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein each user transmits a plurality of groups of symbols wherein a convolution matrix  $(^m)A$  is formed which is block-banded, each block including a number of columns relating to the number of groups of symbols transmitted by the users, and grouped in an order related to the order at which symbols arrive at a receiver.
8. A method as claimed in Claim 7, wherein each block for  $n$  users comprises, sequentially, a plurality of data symbols for a first user  $i$ , related to the number of groups of data symbols transmitted by that user, and one or more data symbols for each subsequent  $(i + 1)^{th}$  user, related to the number of groups of data symbols transmitted by each user.
9. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the number of data symbols for each user within a block is determined by the number of groups of data transmitted by that user and the relative spreading factors of the data symbols transmitted by that user.
10. A method as claimed in Claim 9, wherein each user transmits synchronously a number  $N$  of data symbols, in an epoch, the method comprising shuffling the order selected to form a number  $N_c$  of blocks, where  $N_c$  is equal to the number of data symbols of a selected group transmitted in a time slot, each block being of  $K_c$  columns, and shuffling the blocks so that the order of the columns in each block is related to the time at which symbols arrive at a station.
11. A method as claimed in Claim 10, wherein the selected group is the one having symbols of longer duration.
12. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to, and as illustrated by, Figure 5 or Figure 6 of the accompanying drawings.





FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 14





FIG 5

FIG 6





European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 99 30 5245

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)						
X	KARIMI H R ET AL: "A novel and efficient solution to block-based joint-detection using approximate Cholesky factorization" NINTH IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON PERSONAL, INDOOR AND MOBILE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS (CAT. NO.98TH8361), PROCEEDINGS OF NINTH INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON PERSONAL, INDOOR, AND MOBILE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS (PIMRC'98), BOSTON, MA, USA, 8-11 SEPT. 1998, pages 1340-1345 vol.3, XP002112134 1998, New York, NY, USA, IEEE, USA ISBN: 0-7803-4872-9	1	H04B1/707						
A	* abstract * * page 1340, column 1, paragraph 1 * * page 1341, column 1, line 15 - line 26 *	2-12							
A	MAYER J ET AL: "Realtime feasibility of joint detection CDMA" EPMCC '97. SECOND EUROPEAN PERSONAL MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE TOGETHER WITH 3. ITG-FACHTAGUNG, MOBILE KOMMUNIKATION, BONN, GERMANY, 30 SEPT.-2 OCT. 1997, no. 145, pages 245-252, XP002112135 ITG-Fachberichte, 1997, VDE-Verlag, Germany ISSN: 0341-0196	1-12							
	* abstract * * page 247, column 1, line 32 - column 2, line 13 *		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7) H04B						
		—							
		—/—							
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>THE HAGUE</td> <td>17 December 1999</td> <td>Ó Donnabháin, E</td> </tr> </table>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	THE HAGUE	17 December 1999	Ó Donnabháin, E
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
THE HAGUE	17 December 1999	Ó Donnabháin, E							
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;">           X : particularly relevant if taken alone            Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category            A : technological background            O : non-written disclosure            P : Intermediate document         </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;">           T : theory or principle underlying the invention            E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date            D : document cited in the application            L : document cited for other reasons            &amp; : member of the same patent family, corresponding document         </td> </tr> </table>				X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : Intermediate document	T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document				
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : Intermediate document	T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document								



European Patent  
Office

## EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number  
EP 99 30 5245

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)						
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim							
A	<p>OJANPERA T ET AL: "Qualitative comparison of some multiuser detector algorithms for wideband CDMA"          VTC '98. 48TH IEEE VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE. PATHWAY TO GLOBAL WIRELESS REVOLUTION (CAT. NO.98CH36151), VTC '98. 48TH IEEE VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE. PATHWAY TO A GLOBAL WIRELESS REVOLUTION, OTTAWA, ONT., CANADA, 18-21 MAY 1998, pages 46-50 vol.1, XP002126104 1998, New York, NY, USA, IEEE, USA ISBN: 0-7803-4320-4          * page 46, column 1, paragraph 5 - column 2, paragraph 1 *</p> <hr/> <p>TOSKALA A ET AL: "ETSI WCDMA for UMTS" 1988 IEEE 5TH INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON SPREAD SPECTRUM TECHNIQUES AND APPLICATIONS - PROCEEDINGS. SPREAD TECHNOLOGY TO AFRICA (CAT. NO.98TH8333), PROCEEDINGS OF ISSSTA'98 INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON SPREAD SPECTRUM TECHNIQUES AND APPLICATIONS, SUN C, pages 616-620 vol.2, XP002126105 1998, New York, NY, USA, IEEE, USA ISBN: 0-7803-4281-X          * page 616, column 2, paragraph 4 - page 617, column 1, paragraph 1 *</p> <hr/>	1-12							
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)						
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>THE HAGUE</td> <td>17 December 1999</td> <td>Ó Donnabháin, E</td> </tr> </table>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	THE HAGUE	17 December 1999	Ó Donnabháin, E
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
THE HAGUE	17 December 1999	Ó Donnabháin, E							
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">           X : particularly relevant if taken alone            Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category            A : technological background            D : non-written disclosure            P : Intermediate document         </td> <td style="width: 50%;">           T : theory or principle underlying the invention            E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date            D : document cited in the application            L : document cited for other reasons            B : member of the same patent family, corresponding document         </td> </tr> </table>				X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background D : non-written disclosure P : Intermediate document	T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons B : member of the same patent family, corresponding document				
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background D : non-written disclosure P : Intermediate document	T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons B : member of the same patent family, corresponding document								

This Page Blank (USP)